

To: Robert Dexter [bob@ridolfi.com]
Cc: Joe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA;Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA[]; urt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Keefe, Jennifer"
Sent: Tue 3/13/2012 6:36:25 PM
Subject: Portland Harbor Specific Comments for Formal Responses
7-1-11 BERA Response to EPA Comments Draft Final JK 3.12.12 Dexter.xlsx

Hi Bob,

Thank you very much for providing your comments (both new ones for the Draft Final BERA and a review of LWG's responses on the original Draft BERA) as a follow-up to the meeting in Portland. From that, my understanding is that you were requested to review the original DRAFT BERA for Portland Harbor with a specific interest in the following topics: wildlife risks, fish dietary risks. Additionally, all members of the team were requested to think about data presentation/figures and localized risks. As you know, our tasks include formalizing the responses to the Draft BERA.

Thus, I have compiled the attached spreadsheet that is a smaller version of the one circulated during the meeting in Portland. It includes the following: 1) the comments with your responses in the "New EPA Comment" field (my notes are still present in the CDM Review Comment field for reference), 2) additional Draft BERA comments that appear to relate to your topics (from other document sections such as the Executive Summary), and 3) comments associated with data presentation and localized risks.

The comments included in this spreadsheet that are in addition to the ones for which you have provided comments are: The first #12; 13.9, the second #1; 17, 23, 46, 48, 49, 50, 59, 63, 70, 71, 72, 78, 79, 81, 85, 86, 100, 126, 131, 154, 155, 197, 199, 201, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209 (your review topic) as well as the first # 5; 17, 30, 37, 75, 92, 96, 97, 177, 178 (comments that have a data presentation/localized risk component).

Here is my request so that we meet our deadline:

The comments listed above in purple are those I have labeled as "addressed." Note that the color coding in the spreadsheet is separate from that of this email. Please look at the CDM Comments for these to make sure you agree with my conclusion. If you agree, I will note in the formalized response that they have been resolved and that no further action is needed for these comments (whatever formal language Burt decides we should include for those comments). If you disagree with my assessment, please provide a revised formalized response.

The comments listed above in black are those that I have categorized as being "partially addressed" or "not addressed." Please use my notes in the "CDM Review Comment" as guidance and provide me with a formalized response in the "New EPA Comment" and "New Comment Code" fields to these additional comments.

Finally, I would appreciate your assistance in adapting your draft responses to formal ones that we will present to LWG as part of our deliverable at the end of the month.

I will add the comments on the Draft Final BERA that you provided with the rest of the "new" comments as they are received.

Items to note:

Please remember that I was not to review/make a judgment regarding the quality of the response and I

could not evaluate compliance with subsequent agreements made that LWG references. Also, I did not often take LWG's response into consideration when reviewing.

The page numbers, sections, paragraph numbers are based on the clean version of the revised DRAFT FINAL BERA. If I have misidentified comments that you think are better directed to someone else on the team, please let me know and I can re-direct them appropriately.

Color codes within the spreadsheet (separate from color coding in this email) are at the top of the first page.

If you have any questions or concerns, please let me know.

Thank you in advance for your assistance,
Jenn

Jennifer Keefe, M.P.H.
Environmental Scientist
CDM Smith
50 Hampshire Street
Cambridge, MA 02139
Tel/Fax: 617.452.6314
keefejm@cdmsmith.com
cdmsmith.com